

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL  
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

7

Application Number

10/505,404

Filing Date

February 14, 2003

First Named Inventor

Makoto Abe

Art Unit

3728

Examiner Name

S. Pollicoff

Attorney Docket Number

ADP-199US

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****DEC 11 2006****ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

Fee Transmittal Form



Fee Attached



Amendment/Reply



After Final



Affidavits/declaration(s)



Extension of Time Request



Express Abandonment Request



Information Disclosure Statement



Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

Reply to Missing Parts/  
Incomplete ApplicationReply to Missing Parts  
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Drawing(s)



Licensing-related Papers



Petition

Petition to Convert to a  
Provisional Application

Power of Attorney, Revocation



Change of Correspondence Address



Terminal Disclaimer



Request for Refund



CD, Number of CD(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Landscape Table on CD

After Allowance Communication to TC

Appeal Communication to Board  
of Appeals and InterferencesAppeal Communication to TC  
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

Proprietary Information



Status Letter

Other Enclosure(s) (please identify  
below):

Remarks

Attention: Mail Stop AF

Papers transmitted herewith:

1. Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21; 1 page; this page)
2. Response After Final Rejection (6 pages)

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name

Maurice M. Klee, Ph.D.

Signature

*Maurice Klee*

Printed name

Maurice M. Klee, Ph.D.

Date

December 11, 2006

Reg. No.

30,399

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

*Maurice Klee*

Typed or printed name

Maurice M. Klee, Ph.D.

Date

December 11, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

DEC 11 2006

[278] Attorney Docket No. : ADP-199US

MAIL STOP AF- PATENTResponse Under  
37 CFR §1.116  
Expedited Procedure  
Examining Group 3728

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Makoto Abe and Tetsuzou Yamada  
Serial No. : 10/505,404  
Filed : February 14, 2003  
For : GLASS SUBSTRATE PACKAGE  
Examiner : S. Pollicoff  
Group : 3728

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

This is in response to the final Office Action dated September 11, 2006.

No extension of time is believed to be necessary for the filing of this paper, but if an extension of time is required, applicants request that this be considered a petition therefor. The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required for such an extension to Deposit Account No. 11-1158.

In the September 11<sup>th</sup> Office Action, the Examiner repeated his rejection of applicants' Claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) based on Fujikawa et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,784,860 (the '860 patent). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

To put the issue in context, we begin with the areas about which there is no dispute.

First and foremost, there is no dispute between the Examiner and applicants that the '860 patent only discloses a glass-contacting PET layer (i.e., layer 41) which contains additives. Thus, the Examiner does not dispute that the only disclosure in the '860 patent regarding the use of PET for layer 41 specifically and unequivocally teaches that the layer must at a minimum be "mixed with a charge protective material:"